Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

S. Selvanayagam,^a M. Yogavel,^a V. Rajakannan,^a D. Velmurugan,^a* S. Shanmuga Sundara Raj^b and Hoong-Kun Fun^b

^aDepartment of Crystallography and Biophysics, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, and ^bX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: d_velu@yahoo.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.127 Data-to-parameter ratio = 20.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved In the title compound, $C_{17}H_{21}NO_3$, the cyclohexene ring adopts an envelope conformation. The phenyl ring forms a dihedral angle of 35.77 (4)° with the amide plane. In the crystal, the symmetry-related molecules are linked through $N-H\cdots O$, $O-H\cdots O$ and weak $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, to form molecular networks parallel to (110). Received 2 January 2003 Accepted 16 January 2003 Online 7 February 2003

Comment

An X-ray study of the title compound, (I), is of interest because some cyclohexane derivatives are used as reagents for the separation and identification of aldehydes (Horning & Horning, 1946). These derivatives are important chiral building blocks in the synthesis of natural products (Enders *et al.*, 2000). Also, these derivatives induce hydroxy chlorophyll in tobacco cell suspension cultures (Wang *et al.*, 2000).



The molecular structure of (I) and the atom-numbering scheme are shown in Fig. 1. The C–C bond lengths in the phenyl ring are comparable with the overall average value [1.384 (13) Å] given by Allen *et al.* (1987). The bond lengths and angles observed in the cyclohexene ring are comparable with those of related structures (Semmingsen, 1974; Katrusiak, 1990, 1991, 1993; Bolte *et al.*, 1997). Atom N1 is sp^2 hybridized; the N1–C1 [1.420 (2) Å] and N1–C8 [1.341 (2) Å] bonds have lengths intermediate between single and double bonds.

The cyclohexene ring adopts an envelope conformation, as indicated by the lowest asymmetry parameter $\Delta_s(C13)$ of 0.045 (1); atom C13 deviates by 0.620 (1) Å from the weighted least-squares plane through atoms C10, C11, C12, C14 and C15 (Cremer & Pople, 1975; Nardelli, 1983). The phenyl and cyclohexane (excluding atom C13) rings form dihedral angles of 35.77 (4) and 85.64 (4) $^{\circ}$, respectively, with the amide plane consisting of atoms N1, O1, C8 and C9. The torsion angles $[2.1 (2)^{\circ}]$ C1-N1-C8-O1 and N1-C8-C9-C10 $[143.1 (1)^{\circ}]$ indicate +synperiplanar and +anticlinal conformations for the phenyl and cyclohexene rings with respect to the central amide group. These conformations are influenced by the $C-H \cdots O$ intramolecular interactions involving atoms O1 and O3 (Table 2). In the crystal, the symmetry-related molecules are linked by N-H···O, O-H···O and C-H···O intermolecular hydrogen bonds (Table 2 and Fig. 2), to form molecular networks parallel to the *ab* plane.

Experimental

Stirring dimedone with bromoacetic acid in the presence of K₂CO₃ in DMF for 24 h afforded 2-hydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-6-oxocyclohexanylacetic acid. This was stirred with SOCl₂ in the presence of a few drops of pyridine in CH₂Cl₂. The resulting acid chloride was stirred with ptoluedine, in the presence of pyridine, to afford the title compound.

 $D_x = 1.210 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 5446

Mo Ka radiation

reflections $\theta=2.0{-}28.2^\circ$ $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KBlock, colourless $0.48 \times 0.34 \times 0.26 \text{ mm}$

 $R_{\rm int}=0.029$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ}$

 $h = -13 \rightarrow 13$

 $k = -6 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -35 \rightarrow 30$

Crystal data

CH. NO.
01711211103
$M_r = 287.35$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
a = 10.1239(1)Å
b = 5.8366 (1) Å
c = 26.9176(1) Å
$\beta = 97.403 \ (1)^{\circ}$
$V = 1577.28 (3) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD areadetector diffractometer (i) scans 10 433 measured reflections 3912 independent reflections 2972 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0589P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$	+ 0.2846P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.127$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.03	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
3912 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
191 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

01-C8	1.229 (2)	C10-C15	1.354 (2)
O2-C11	1.234 (2)	C10-C11	1.440 (2)
O3-C15	1.336 (2)	C11-C12	1.503 (2)
N1-C8	1.341 (2)	C13-C14	1.523 (2)
N1-C1	1.420 (2)	C13-C17	1.528 (2)
C8-C9	1.511 (2)	C13-C16	1.531 (2)
C9-C10 1.506 (2)		C14-C15	1.496 (2)
C8-N1-C1-C6	36.0 (2)	N1-C8-C9-C10	143.1 (1)
C1-N1-C8-O1	2.1 (2)	C8-C9-C10-C11	-70.39 (15)
01-C8-C9-C10	-38.60 (18)		

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
C6-H6···O1	0.93	2.45	2.8824 (18)	109
C9−H9A···O3	0.97	2.44	2.8235 (15)	103
$O3-H3O\cdots O1^i$	0.82	1.82	2.6423 (12)	174
$C14-H14A\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.97	2.53	3.1301 (17)	120
$N1{-}H1N{\cdots}O2^{ii}$	0.86	2.00	2.8591 (15)	174

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) $\frac{3}{2} - x$, $y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$.

After their location in a difference map, all the H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their attached atoms using SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997) defaults for bond lengths and displacement parameters. One of the methyl groups (C7) was found to be disordered; it was treated as an idealized disordered methyl group, with two positions rotated from each other by 60°, and the



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.



Figure 2

Packing of the molecules, viewed down the b axis.

occupation factors fixed at 0.5. Rotating group refinement was used for the OH group.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and PLATON (Spek, 1990); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and PARST (Nardelli, 1995).

SSN and DV thank the University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi, for financial support under the Herbal Sciences Programme. VRK thanks the Department of Science and Technology for financial support.

References

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1-19.

Bolte, M., Degen, A. & Rühl, S. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 340-342.

Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.

- Enders, D., Nuhring, A. & Runsink, J. (2000). Chirality, 12, 374-377.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Horning, E. C. & Horning, M. G. (1946). J. Org. Chem. 11, 95.
- Katrusiak, A. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 246-256.
- Katrusiak, A. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 398-404.
- Katrusiak, A. (1993). J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 23, 367-372.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 1141-1142.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Semmingsen, D. (1974). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. B, 28, 169-174.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1996). SMART and SAINT. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.

Wang, J. M., Asami, T., Murofushi, N. & Yoshida, S. (2000). Photochem. Photobiol. 71, 84-89.